

Organization for the Assabet River

StreamWatch and Water Quality Monitoring Program
Final Report – May to September 2004



August 2005

Acknowledgments

OAR wishes to thank our many dedicated volunteers for their work in the field and on our board and advisory committees. We'd especially like to thank our 2004 water quality volunteers: Ann and Matt Cairns, Barbara Offenhartz, Beverly Bryant, David Downing, David Gray, Debbie Listernick, Doug Moffat, Donna Rudek, Jane Van Doren, Jason Kupperschmidt, Jeanne Landers, Joel and Ruth Luna, Katie Toothaker, Laurence Ullmann, Len Rappoli, Linda Jones, Lynn Knight, Mark Godfrey, Mary Moran, Matt Liebman, Michael Sanders, Michal Mueller, Pam Rockwell, Paul Goldman, Paul Starratt, Rick Parker, Travis Hussey, and Tim Lawson. Special thanks to our summer intern, Sara Carlisle. For scientific review and editorial help, thanks to Julia Blatt and Cindy Delpapa.

We greatly appreciate the support for our water quality sampling program from the towns of Maynard, Hudson, and Concord. Funding for the StreamWatch portion of this program came from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EMPACT Metro Program. In-kind services were provided by Thorstensen Laboratory Inc. of Westford, and U.S. Environmental Rental Corporation of Waltham.

Author: Suzanne Flint, OAR Staff Scientist

Cover (clockwise from top left): wood turtle near Cold Harbor Brook, Assabet River in Marlborough, Sue Beede and Michal Mueller sampling, falls at Faulkner Mills in Billerica

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	ii
Abstract.....	5
Introduction.....	6
Methods.....	7
Reaches and Tributaries.....	12
Results and Discussion.....	13
Flow.....	13
Water Temperature, pH, and Conductivity.....	20
Dissolved Oxygen.....	21
Nutrients and Suspended Solids.....	23
Stream Health Index Readings.....	26
Conclusions.....	31
References.....	33
Glossary of Terms.....	35
Appendix I – Massachusetts Proposed Listing of Individual Categories of Waters	
Appendix II – Data Summaries	
Appendix III - Stream Health Index Readings & Tributary Data	

Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Assabet and Concord Rivers Watershed and Sampling Sites 2004.....	9
Figure 2: Assabet River Profile - Elevation vs. Rivermile.....	10
Figure 3: Median of the Daily Mean Streamflows (June 1 - Sept 30): Assabet River.....	13
Figure 4: Mean daily streamflows at Assabet River gage (May 2004 – September 2004).....	17
Figure 5: Mean daily streamflows at Concord River Gage (May 2004 - September 2004).....	18
Figure 6: Rainfall data (May – September 2004) at USGS Assabet River Gage, Maynard.....	19
Figure 7: Average conductivity readings (June - Sept 2005).....	20
Figure 8: Histogram of Mainstem DO Measurements (May to Sept).....	21
Figure 9: Histogram of Headwater & Tributary DO Measurements (May to Sept).....	21
Figure 10: Mainstem Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations – Summer 2004.....	22
Figure 11: Tributary Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations – Summer 2004.....	22
Figure 12: Total Phosphorus Concentrations (2004).....	24
Figure 13: Ortho-Phosphorus Concentrations (Summer 2004).....	24
Figure 14: Total Nitrogen Concentrations (2004).....	25
Figure 15: Nitrate Concentrations (2004).....	25
Figure 16: Median Ammonia (as N) Concentrations (2004).....	26
Figure 17: Stream Health & Streamflow – Cold Harbor Brook (2004).....	27
Figure 18: Stream Health & Streamflow – Danforth Brook (2004).....	28
Figure 19: Stream Health & Streamflow – Elizabeth Brook (2003).....	28
Figure 20: Stream Health & Streamflow – Fort Meadow Brook (2004).....	29
Figure 21: Stream Health & Streamflow – Hop Brook (2004).....	29
Figure 22: Stream Health & Streamflow - Nashoba Brook (2004).....	30

Table 1: OAR Sampling Sites - Summer 2004 8
Table 2: Sampling and Analysis Methods 11
Table 3: Water Quality Standards and Guidance for Use Support 11
Table 4: Reference conditions for aggregate Ecoregion XIV subregion 59 streams^a 12
Table 5: StreamStats drainage basin statistics 12
Table 6: Rainfall Data (May - Oct 2004)..... 14
Table 7: Mainstem Reach and Tributary WQ Statistics 15

Abstract

In the summer of 2004, the Organization for the Assabet River collected water quality and streamflow data once a month at 15 sites along the mainstem Assabet and Concord Rivers and at nine sites on tributary streams. This was the first year that, working in cooperation with two smaller groups, OAR collected data in the Concord basin. Weekly streamflow data was also collected at six of the tributary sites. In its twelfth year, the goals of OAR's water quality monitoring program remain: to understand long-term water quality trends, provide sound scientific information to evaluate regulatory decisions, and promote stewardship of the watershed. Data collected are also used to characterize fish habitat in the main tributaries of the watershed and to make that data available to the public in near-real-time. This report covers the water quality and streamflow data collected in 2004 between May and September.

With rainfall near normal in the watershed during the summer of 2004, streamflows were near normal and dissolved oxygen concentrations in the mainstem Assabet and Concord Rivers were generally good. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations in the mainstems failed to meet standards criteria (≥ 5.0 mg/L and 60% saturation) at only two sites over the summer. DO concentrations in the mainstems ranged from 5.00 – 10.25 mg/L with the lowest concentrations generally in August and the highest in September. Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the tributary streams failed to meet standards criteria at three sites. The low DO readings at these sites were likely a result of upstream swampy conditions or upstream beaver dams.

As in previous years, nutrient concentrations along the Assabet River mainstem below the first wastewater treatment discharge (Westborough WWTP) were well above levels expected to support eutrophication (EPA Ecoregion reference conditions) for total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and nitrates. Nutrient concentrations at the three Concord River mainstem sites sampled were generally lower than upstream concentrations, but still exceeded reference condition concentrations for total phosphorus, total nitrogen and nitrates in all but one measurement. Above the first WWTP on the Assabet River and in the tributary streams, median total phosphorus, total nitrogen and nitrate concentrations were below the median concentrations in the mainstem reaches, but elevated in comparison with reference condition concentrations.

A weekly Stream Health Index was calculated for each of six tributary streams using water quality, physical habitat availability, and streamflow data. The stream health was rated "excellent" or "good" for more than half of the 16 weeks assessed in Elizabeth, Fort Meadow, Hop and Nashoba Brooks. Cold Harbor and Danforth Brook scored lower with only 5 of 17 and 5 of 16 readings in the "excellent" to "good" range for each brook respectively. The lowest overall stream health readings for each stream occurred between mid-August and mid-September, when streamflows were at their lowest for the season. The lowest-scoring parameters over the summer were, in order of frequency: total nitrogen, water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and streamflow.

Introduction

The Assabet River has a watershed of about 177 square miles in eastern Massachusetts and is within EPA's Ecoregion XIV subregion 59, the Eastern Coastal Plain. The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP, 2002b) lists all sections of the Assabet River, from the Assabet River Reservoir (A1 Impoundment) in Westborough to the river's confluence with the Sudbury River in Concord, as Category 5 Waters: "Waters Requiring a TMDL." The tributaries of the Assabet River are largely unassessed (DEP, 2002b).

The mainstem river suffers primarily from cultural eutrophication caused by excess nutrients entering the river. During the growing season these excess nutrients, phosphorus in particular, fuel nuisance algal and macrophytic plant growth which interferes with recreational use of the river and causes large daily variations in the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the water, and makes the river poor habitat for aquatic life. When the algae and plants decay (whenever they are exposed on the river banks and/or at the end of the growing season) they generate strong sewage-like odors and dramatically lower the dissolved oxygen levels in the river.

The findings of the Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Loading Study (ENSR 2001, MA DEP 2004) confirms that the majority of the nutrients entering the river come from the wastewater treatment plants that discharge treated effluent to the river. In particular, treatment plants are the major source of ortho-phosphorus (the bioavailable form of phosphorus) throughout the year. While non-point sources contribute nutrients, they contribute significantly less than point sources over the growing season. Sediments, which tend to accumulate in the impoundments behind dams, are currently a minor source of nutrients to the river compared with other sources. Sediment quantity and quality in the main impoundments of the Assabet River are currently being studied.

Flow, particularly baseflow, is critical to supporting fish and other aquatic life in the mainstem river and tributaries and is essential to diluting the effluent discharged to the river. For the nutrient load reductions proposed in the state's TMDL to be effective in restoring water quality in the mainstem, the existing baseflow in the river and its tributaries must be preserved and, if possible, augmented. The water resources of the area are under the strain of an increasing demand for water supply and centralized wastewater treatment, which results in the net loss of water from many sub-basins and reduced baseflow in the mainstem and tributaries.

Because of these problems, the Organization for the Assabet River (OAR) conducts a water quality monitoring program aimed at understanding water quality and quantity in the mainstem and large tributaries of the Assabet and Concord. The summer of 2004 was OAR's thirteenth consecutive summer collecting data at 12 mainstem Assabet River sites, including the longest standing sites above and below each major wastewater treatment plant, its third year collecting data at tributary sites, and its first year collecting data at three mainstem Concord River sites. Water quality data collected under OAR's Water Quality Monitoring Program QAPP (OAR 2000a), and the Quality Assurance Program Plan for the StreamWatch Project (OAR 2003a) may be used by EPA and DEP in making regulatory decisions. The goals of OAR's water quality monitoring program remain: to understand long-term trends in the condition of the river and its tributaries, provide sound scientific information to evaluate regulatory decisions that affect the river, and to promote stewardship of the river through volunteer participation in the project.

The data collected also supports the goals of the StreamWatch project: to characterize fish habitat conditions in the main tributary sub-basins of the Assabet River and make timely, accurate streamflow and water quality data available. Weekly streamflow and habitat availability data was collected at six tributary sites (Hop Brook, Cold Harbor Brook, Fort Meadow Brook, Elizabeth Brook, Danforth Brook, and Nashoba Brook) to calculate “Stream Health Index” readings for those streams as part of the StreamWatch project. (A full description of the Stream Health index is available at www.assabetriver.org/streamwatch/howindex.html.) This report covers the water quality and streamflow data collected on both the mainstem and tributaries. Water quality reports and data from 1999 – 2003 (OAR 2000b, OAR 2001, OAR 2002, OAR 2003b, OAR 2004) are available on OAR’s website (www.assabetriver.org/wq/).

Methods

Thirty trained volunteers, one summer intern, and two OAR staff members monitored water quality at 15 sites along the mainstem Assabet and Concord Rivers and nine sites on the main tributaries to those rivers (Table 1, Figure 1). Each site is assigned a three letter prefix for the waterbody name plus a three number designation indicating rivermiles above its confluence with the next stream. For example, the Cold Harbor Brook site at Cherry Street in Northborough, 3.0 miles upstream of the confluence of the brook with the Assabet River, is designated “CLD-030.” Water quality monitoring (bottle samples, *in-situ* measurements, and observations) was conducted one weekend (5:00 am - 9:00 am) each month in May (all tributary sites and five mainstem sites), June, July, August, and September. Staff gage readings and habitat availability estimates at the tributary stream sites were made once a week and reported to the OAR office. Streamflow was calculated from the stage readings using stage/discharge rating curves developed in cooperation with USGS.

Samples for nutrients and suspended solids were taken using bottles supplied by the laboratories and were stored in the dark on ice during transport from the field to the lab. Samples to be analyzed by Thorstensen Laboratory were delivered to the laboratory within four hours of collection. *In-situ* readings of temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and conductivity were taken using multi-function YSI 6000-series meters. To ensure that samples were representative of the bulk flow of the river in wadeable free-running sections, bottle samples and YSI readings were taken from the main flow of the river at mid-depth where possible. At ten percent of the sites during each sampling event, duplicate field samples and field blanks of distilled water were taken. Table 2, below, summarizes the parameters measured, laboratory methods and equipment used. Detailed descriptions of sampling methods and quality control measures are available in the Water Quality Monitoring Program QAPP (OAR, 2000a) and the QAPP for the StreamWatch Project (OAR, 2003a).

Table 1: OAR Sampling Sites - Summer 2004

Reach	New Site #	Site Description (SARIS # in Basin 82)	Data Collected		
			<i>In-situ</i>	Bottle Samples	Staff gage
Head-water	ABT-311	Assabet at Maynard Street, Westboro (46775)	X	X	X
Upper Assabet Mainstem	ABT-301	Assabet by Rte 9 East bridge, Westboro (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-280	Assabet by School St. bridge, Northboro (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-242	Assabet by Boundary Rd. bridge, Northboro (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-238	Assabet upstream of dam off Robin Hill Rd., Marlboro (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-162	Assabet by Cox Street bridge, Hudson (46775)	X	X	X
	ABT-144	Assabet downstream of Gleasondale dam, Rte 62, Stow (46775)	X	X	
Lower Assabet Mainstem	ABT-077	Assabet by USGS gage, Rte 27/62, Maynard (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-063	Assabet by Rte 62 bridge nr. Acton Ford, Acton (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-033	Assabet by Rte 62 bridge nr. pump station, W. Concord (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-026	Assabet by Rte 2 bridge, Concord (46775)	X	X	
	ABT-010	Assabet nr. Lowell Road, Concord (46775)	X	X	
Concord Mainstem	CND-161	Concord at Lowell Road bridge, Concord (46500)	X	X	
	CND-093	Concord at Rte 4 bridge, Billerica (46500)	X	X	
	CND-009	Concord at Rogers Street bridge, Lowell (46500)	X	X	
Tributaries	HOP-011	Hop Brook, nr. Otis Street, Northboro (47600)	X	X	X
	CLD-030	Cold Harbor Brook, Cherry Street bridge, Northboro (47550)	X	X	X
	NTH-009	North Brook, Whitney Ave. bridge, Berlin (47375)	X	X	X
	DAN-013	Danforth Brook, nr. Rte 85 bridge, Hudson (47275)	X	X	X
	FTM-012	Fort Meadow Brook, Shay Road bridge, Hudson (47200)	X	X	X
	ELZ-004	Elizabeth Br. (aka Assabet Br.), nr. White Pond Rd., Stow (47125)	X	X	X
	NSH-002	Nashoba Brook, Commonwealth Ave. bridge, W. Concord (unnamed; outlet Warners Pond)	X	X	X
	SPN-003	Spencer Brook, Barrett's Mill Rd bridge, Concord (unnamed; outlet Angiers Pond)	X	X	X
	RVM-038	River Meadow Brook by Rte 129, Chelmsford (46525)	X	X	X
	RVM-005	River Meadow Brook by Thorndike Street, Lowell (46525)	X	X	

^a *In-situ*: temperature, DO, pH, and conductivity

^b Bottle Samples: TSS, TP, ortho-P, TKN, nitrates, and ammonia

Figure 1: Assabet and Concord Rivers Watershed and Sampling Sites 2004



Figure 2: Assabet River Profile - Elevation vs. Rivermile




Table 2: Sampling and Analysis Methods

Parameter	Sample Type	Analysis Method #	Equipment Range/ Detection Limits	Sampling Equipment	Laboratory
Temperature	<i>in-situ</i>	---	-5 - 45° C	YSI 6000-series	---
pH	<i>in-situ</i>	---	0 to 14 units	YSI 6000-series	---
Dissolved oxygen	<i>in-situ</i>	---	0 - 50 mg/L	YSI 6000-series	---
Conductivity	<i>in-situ</i>	---	0 to 1000 μ S/cm	YSI 6000-series	---
Total Suspended Solids	bottle	EPA 160.2 ^a	1.0 mg/L	bottle	Thorstensen Laboratory
Total phosphorus	bottle	EPA 365.2	0.01 mg/L	bottle	Thorstensen Laboratory
ortho-Phosphate	bottle	EPA 365.2	0.01 mg/L	bottle	Thorstensen Laboratory
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	bottle	EPA 351.3	0.05 mg/L	bottle	Thorstensen Laboratory
Nitrates	bottle	EPA 300.0	0.01mg/L	bottle	Thorstensen Laboratory
Ammonia	bottle	EPA 350.3	0.03 mg/L	bottle	Thorstensen Laboratory

^a USEPA, 1983.

^b American Public Health Association, 1995.

Water quality measurements were compared with the Massachusetts Water Quality Standards for Class B waters (MA DEP, 1997) and the guidance for determining use support (MA DEP, 2002a) (Table 3). All segments of the Assabet and Concord are designated Class B warm waters; all of the tributary streams assessed in this project are designated Class B waters. For nutrient concentrations (where the Massachusetts standard is narrative) results were compared with the EPA “Gold Book” total phosphorus criteria of 0.05 mg/L TP (US EPA, 1986) (Table 3) and with summertime data for Ecoregion XIV subregion 59 streams (US EPA, 2000) (Table 4).

Table 3: Water Quality Standards and Guidance for Use Support

Parameter	Standard
Dissolved oxygen ^a	5.0 mg/l and 60% saturation in warm water fisheries 6.0 mg/l and 75% saturation in cold water fisheries
pH	6.5 – 9.0 for freshwater aquatic life ^b 6.5 – 8.3 inland waters (upper limit is a swimming standard) ^a
Nutrients ^a	“control cultural eutrophication”
Total phosphorus ^b	0.050 mg/L total phosphorus
Temperature ^a	28.3° C and $\Delta < 2.8^\circ$ C for warm water fisheries 20.0° C and $\Delta < 1.7^\circ$ C for cold water fisheries
Suspended Solids ^c	Aquatic life: 25 mg/L maximum, Δ 10 mg/L due to a discharge
Aesthetics Biocommunity ^c	Primary or secondary contact recreational use: no nuisance organisms that render the water aesthetically objectionable or unusable, BPJ; Cover of macrophytes <50% within any portion of the lake area at maximum extent of growth.

^a MA DEP. 1997.

^b US EPA. 1986.

^c MA DEP. 2002.

Table 4: Reference conditions for aggregate Ecoregion XIV subregion 59 streams^a

Parameter	Reference condition (25 th percentile based on summer data for Ecoregion XIV subregion 59)
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	0.025
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.44
NO ₂ + NO ₃ (mg/L)	0.34
TKN (mg/L)	0.30

^a USEPA. 2000.

Reaches and Tributaries

All the sites tested were in relatively free-flowing sections of the river and tributaries. For the purposes of data analysis, the sites are divided into: (1) the upper and lower reaches of the Assabet mainstem, (2) the Concord River mainstem, and (3) the Assabet headwater and all tributary sites (Table 1). The upper reach of the Assabet is from site ABT-301 (Route 9, Westborough) to site ABT-144 (Gleasondale, Stow). The lower reach of the Assabet is from site ABT-077 (Route 62, Maynard) to site ABT-010 (near Lowell Road, Concord); the Concord mainstem includes three sites from CND-161 (below the confluence of the Assabet and Sudbury) to CND-009 (at Rogers Street in Lowell). For comparison with the mainstem reaches, the headwaters site ABT-311 (Maynard Street, Westborough) is either reported separately or analyzed with the tributary sites. ABT-311 is upstream of the first wastewater treatment plant discharge. Sites HOP-011 (Hop Brook), CLD-030 (Cold Harbor Brook), NTH-009 (North Brook), DAN-013 (Danforth Brook), FTM-012 (Fort Meadow), ELZ-004 (Elizabeth Brook), SPN-003 (Spencer Brook), and NSH-002 (Nashoba Brook) are all on tributaries to the Assabet River. RVM-038 (River Meadow Brook at Chelmsford) and RVM-005 (River Meadow Brook at Lowell) are on the largest tributary to the Concord River. Table 5 lists tributary and mainstem basin characteristics calculated using USGS's StreamStats program.

Table 5: StreamStats drainage basin statistics

Tributary Streams	Statistics at Mouth of Tributary ^a				
	Latitude/Longitude at Mouth of Tributary	Drainage Area (sq.mi.)	Stratified Drift Area (sq.mi.)	% area stratified drift	Slope ^b (%)
Cold Harbor Brook, Northboro	42.3238/-71.6413	6.86	1.97	28.72	5.01
Danforth/ Mill Brook, Hudson	42.3897/-71.5666	7.17	2.06	28.73	3.58
Elizabeth Brook, Stow	42.4217/-71.4776	19.09	6.93	36.30	3.73
Fort Meadow Brook, Hudson	42.3975/-71.5169	6.25	1.76	28.16	3.77
Hop Brook, Northboro/Shrewsbury	42.2887/-71.6449	7.87	2.09	26.56	3.57
Nashoba Brook, Concord	42.4592/-71.3942	48.05	19.05	39.65	2.29
North Brook, Berlin	42.3576/-71.6188	16.89	4.12	24.39	4.38
River Meadow Brook, Lowell	42.6318/-71.3087	26.32	16.18	61.47	1.91
Spencer Brook, Concord	42.4714/-71.3731	7.16	2.16	30.17	2.09
Mainstem Sites	Statistics at Mainstem Sites ^a				
mouth Concord River, Lowell	42.6351/-71.3015	400.0	197.97	49.49	2.63
mouth Assabet River, Concord	42.4652/-71.3596	177.81	73.00	41.06	3.01
Assabet at Maynard St., Westboro	42.2741/-71.6322	6.79	1.64	24.15	3.61

^a Calculated using USGS's StreamStats program (<http://ststdmamrl.er.usgs.gov/streamstats/>)

^b Slope is the mean basin slope calculated from the slope of each grid cell in the designated subbasin.

Results and Discussion

Reach (see Table 1 for reaches) and tributary statistics are summarized for the summer in Table 7, below. Full monthly summaries of the water quality data are attached in the Appendix I. Individual parameters are discussed below.

Flow

Streamflow has a direct impact on the concentration of nutrients and suspended solids in the water column and the availability of aquatic habitat and an indirect impact on water temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, pH, and conductivity.

Streamflows measured at the USGS Assabet River gage were near normal over the summer sampling period (June 1 – Sept 30) (Figure 3). Figure 4 and Figure 5 show daily mean streamflows recorded at the USGS gages on the Assabet River in Maynard and the Concord River in Lowell compared with the daily means for the period of record at each gage. Precipitation, as measured at the National Weather Service (NWS) Worcester station, in the area was slightly higher than average (106%) for the May to September period (Table 6), although much of the rain in September came after the last sampling day (2.69" rain fell with the hurricane around September 18th).

Figure 3: Median of the Daily Mean Streamflows (June 1 - Sept 30): Assabet River




Table 6: Rainfall Data (May - Oct 2004)

2004 Rainfall Data from NWS Worcester Station*				
Month	Monthly precip (in.)	30-year average (in.)	Departure from average (in.)	% of average
May	3.27	4.35	-1.08	75
June	1.47	4.02	-2.55	36
July	4.88	4.19	0.69	116
Aug	5.07	4.09	0.98	123
Sept	7.53	4.27	3.26	176
May – Sept	22.22	20.92	1.30	106

* <http://www.erh.noaa.gov/box/MonthlyClimate2.shtml>

Precipitation and the consequent stormwater runoff affects water quality. Because increased stormwater runoff is correlated with increased concentrations of total suspended solids, total phosphorus, and nitrate/nitrites, it is worth noting precipitation before and during the sampling. For the purposes of this project a “dry weather” sampling is considered that which is preceded by at least 48 hours with less than 0.1” of rain. In 2004, the May and July sampling days were dry weather; June, August and September sampling days were wet weather. Figure 6 shows daily rainfall as recorded at the USGS gage in Maynard for May to September with the sampling days indicated.

Once a week streamflow was calculated from stage readings and stage-discharge rating curves for six of the tributary monitoring sites: Hop Brook, Cold Harbor Brook, Danforth Brook, Fort Meadow Brook, Elizabeth Brook, and Nashoba Brook. Stage-discharge rating curves are being developed for another three sites. These streamflow readings were used, along with water quality results and habitat availability readings, to calculate weekly Stream Health Index readings for the tributaries. The Stream Health Index readings are discussed below; the full data set and index readings are attached in Appendix III.

Table 7: Mainstem Reach and Tributary WQ Statistics

Date	Reach	Sites	Statistic*	Mainstem Reach and Tributary Statistics (morning readings between 5:30 – 8:30 am)											
				Water Temp (°C)	DO % Sat.	DO (mg/L)	Cond. (µS/cm)	pH	TSS (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	ortho-P (mg/L)	NO3 (mg/L)	NH3 (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Total N
15-May-04	Headwater & Tribs (9 sites)	ABT-311 & Tribs	Minimum	17.23	51.7	4.96	121	6.24	<1	0.009	<0.006	0.24	<0.03	0.28	0.5
		ABT-311 & Tribs	Maximum	20.88	103.6	9.64	451	6.98	6	0.110	0.018	0.60	0.70	1.10	1.6
		ABT-311 & Tribs	Median	18.82	88.3	8.28	303	6.79	0.5	0.024	0.003	0.40	0.04	0.53	0.9
19-June-04	Assabet Mainstem (11 sites)	ABT-301 - ABT-010	Minimum	19.14	68.2	6.28	444	6.51	1	0.070	<0.006	1.2	0.07	0.75	2.2
		ABT-301 - ABT-010	Maximum	22.53	87.5	7.71	791	7.20	24	0.258	0.152	5.0	0.16	1.2	5.9
		ABT-301 - ABT-010	Median	21.47	78.3	6.90	592	7.00	3	0.090	0.043	2.5	0.12	1.0	3.6
	Upper Assabet Mainstem (6 sites)	ABT-301 - ABT-144	Median	19.45	71.4	6.45	664	6.92	2	0.155	0.079	3.25	0.10	0.86	4.1
	Lower Assabet Mainstem (5 sites)	ABT-077 - ABT-010	Median	21.60	78.4	6.90	478	7.05	6	0.074	0.036	1.3	0.14	0.99	2.3
	Concord River Mainstem (3 sites)	CND-161 - CND-009	Minimum	22.17	75.5	6.57	409	6.95	<1	0.034	0.013	0.56	0.05	0.68	1.3
		CND-161 - CND-009	Maximum	23.07	82.2	7.10	455	7.15	6	0.043	0.021	0.82	0.10	0.87	1.7
		CND-161 - CND-009	Median	22.59	80.4	6.88	412	7.03	3	0.040	0.016	0.60	0.05	0.76	1.3
	Assabet Head & Tributaries (9 sites)	ABT-311 & Tribs	Minimum	17.61	79.2	45.2	137	6.54	<1	0.007	<0.006	<0.01	<0.03	0.34	0.8
ABT-311 & Tribs		Maximum	21.99	90.2	90.2	608	7.23	31	0.047	0.027	0.60	0.12	1.5	2.1	
ABT-311 & Tribs		Median	19.47	81.4	81.4	386	6.88	2	0.024	0.014	0.47	0.07	0.85	1.4	
17-July-04	Assabet Mainstem (11 sites)	ABT-301 - ABT-010	Minimum	19.60	64.0	5.79	555	6.56	<1	0.081	0.039	1.4	0.04	1.3	2.7
		ABT-301 - ABT-010	Maximum	21.81	94.9	8.35	835	7.13	7	0.481	0.297	6.5	0.11	3.6	9.1
		ABT-301 - ABT-010	Median	21.39	74.2	6.52	662	6.98	1	0.129	0.057	2.2	0.06	2.1	4.8
	Upper Assabet Mainstem (6 sites)	ABT-301 - ABT-144	Median	20.02	70.7	6.45	730	6.90	1	0.240	0.127	3.2	0.06	2.5	5.6
	Lower Assabet Mainstem (5 sites)	ABT-077 - ABT-010	Median	21.56	74.4	6.56	612	7.08	1	0.097	0.041	1.5	0.06	1.4	2.9
	Concord River Mainstem (3 sites)	CND-161 - CND-009	Minimum	22.38	88.6	7.67	455	7.11	6	0.051	0.021	0.40	0.04	2.4	2.8
		CND-161 - CND-009	Maximum	22.97	119.6	10.25	514	7.42	46	0.191	0.085	0.75	0.06	2.6	3.4
		CND-161 - CND-009	Median	22.40	95.0	8.24	470	7.31	9	0.124	0.064	0.64	0.04	2.4	3.0
	Assabet Head & Tributaries (9 sites)	ABT-311 & Tribs	Minimum	17.70	53.8	4.86	145	6.74	1	0.030	0.012	0.19	0.03	1.4	1.7
ABT-311 & Tribs		Maximum	22.33	127.6	11.44	775	7.52	8	0.077	0.038	0.85	0.14	4.1	4.6	
ABT-311 & Tribs		Median	20.26	85.9	7.64	371	7.13	4	0.053	0.024	0.36	0.05	1.9	2.5	

* calculated as ½ detection level where samples are BDL

Table 7: Mainstem Reach and Tributary Statistics - Continued

	Sites	Reach	Statistic*	Water Temp (°C)	DO (mg/L)	DO % Sat.	Cond. (µS/cm)	pH	TSS (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	ortho-P (mg/L)	NO3 (mg/L)	NH3 (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Total N
14-August-04	Assabet Mainstem (11 sites)	ABT-301 - ABT-010	Minimum	21.72	58.3	5.00	520	6.43	<1	0.094	0.031	1.0	0.09	0.98	2.1
		ABT-301 - ABT-010	Maximum	23.61	89.5	7.57	1004	7.36	15	0.543	0.301	9.5	0.19	2.8	12.3
		ABT-301 - ABT-010	Median	23.15	70.0	5.95	856	7.08	2	0.132	0.043	2.9	0.12	1.2	4.1
	Upper Assabet Mainstem (6 sites)	ABT-301 - ABT-144	Median	22.03	62.6	5.47	967	7.05	1	0.281	0.165	6.8	0.12	1.9	8.7
	Lower Assabet Mainstem (5 sites)	ABT-077 - ABT-010	Median	23.30	71.8	6.13	604	7.08	4	0.130	0.039	1.2	0.13	1.2	2.5
	Concord River Mainstem (3 sites)	CND-161 - CND-009	Minimum	24.02	74.5	6.25	428	6.94	8	0.086	0.024	0.20	0.08	0.96	1.2
		CND-161 - CND-009	Maximum	24.51	96.3	8.10	462	7.31	12	0.122	0.034	0.63	0.17	1.6	2.2
		CND-161 - CND-009	Median	24.14	89.2	7.43	444	7.18	9	0.100	0.025	0.39	0.08	0.97	1.4
	Assabet Head & Tributaries (9 sites)	ABT-311 & Tribs	Minimum	19.48	41.3	3.58	170	6.57	<1	0.021	<0.006	<0.01	0.08	0.97	1.2
		ABT-311 & Tribs	Maximum	23.92	91.2	8.16	705	7.62	10	0.126	0.037	1.10	0.14	2.2	2.5
		ABT-311 & Tribs	Median	22.32	83.8	7.05	378	7.06	3	0.056	0.026	0.26	0.09	1.2	1.7
	11-September-04	Assabet Mainstem (11 sites)	ABT-301 - ABT-010	Minimum	18.09	68.2	6.36	458	6.88	2	0.068	<0.006	1.9	0.03	0.91
ABT-301 - ABT-010			Maximum	20.82	90.4	8.25	656	7.39	13	0.402	0.174	5.4	0.05	3.4	8.8
ABT-301 - ABT-010			Median	19.53	82.6	7.57	557	7.28	3	0.136	0.047	3.2	0.04	1.5	4.4
Upper Assabet Mainstem (6 sites)		ABT-301 - ABT-144	Median	19.15	78.2	7.22	532	7.19	3	0.205	0.083	3.0	0.03	1.6	4.6
Lower Assabet Mainstem (5 sites)		ABT-077 - ABT-010	Median	19.55	82.8	7.61	623	7.31	4	0.070	0.025	3.2	0.05	1.2	4.4
Concord River Mainstem (3 sites)		CND-161 - CND-009	Minimum	20.36	78.1	7.05	366	7.19	8	0.027	0.013	0.58	<0.03	1.0	1.6
		CND-161 - CND-009	Maximum	21.05	100.0	8.98	438	7.41	10	0.037	0.017	0.81	<0.03	1.4	2.2
		CND-161 - CND-009	Median	20.56	88.3	7.86	384	7.34	9	0.032	0.015	0.77	<0.03	1.2	2.0
Assabet Head & Tributaries (9 sites)		ABT-311 & Tribs	Minimum	16.83	50.6	4.72	128	6.97	1	0.009	<0.006	0.25	<0.03	0.69	1.0
		ABT-311 & Tribs	Maximum	20.22	98.1	9.32	563	7.45	6	0.086	0.036	0.45	0.07	1.1	1.4
		ABT-311 & Tribs	Median	17.78	81.0	7.59	301	7.17	3	0.030	0.014	0.29	0.02	0.78	1.1

* calculated as ½ detection level where samples are BDL

Figure 4: Mean daily streamflows at Assabet River gage (May 2004 – September 2004)




Figure 5: Mean daily streamflows at Concord River Gage (May 2004 - September 2004)





Figure 6: Rainfall data (May – September 2004) at USGS Assabet River Gage, Maynard



Water Temperature, pH, and Conductivity


Dissolved oxygen (DO), water temperature, pH, and conductivity measurements were taken in May (tributaries and Assabet headwater sites only), June, July, August, and September, between about 5:30am – 9:30am, when daily dissolved oxygen concentrations are expected to be at their lowest. Summary statistics for all *in-situ* readings are in Table 7, above.

Water temperatures in the mainstem and tributaries met water quality temperature standards on all dates tested. Temperatures in the mainstem ranged from 18.09 – 24.51 °C, with the lowest readings in September and the highest in August. Temperatures in the tributaries ranged from 16.83– 23.92 °C, with the lowest reading in September and the highest in August.

pH in the mainstem met water quality pH standards at all mainstem sites on all dates tested except for the Cold Harbor Brook site in May (pH 6.24); pHs in the mainstem ranged from 6.43 – 7.42. In the tributaries, pH's ranged from 6.24 - 7.62.

Conductivity ranged from 366 - 1004 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ in the mainstem, with readings generally higher in the upper reach than in the lower reach. In the tributaries conductivity ranged from 121 – 775 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, with highest readings in Hop Brook, Cold Harbor Brook, and River Meadow Brook at Thorndike St. in Lowell. Conductivity is an indirect indicator of pollutants such as effluent from wastewater treatment plants or septic systems, non-point source runoff (especially road salts), and soil erosion. The high readings in the upper Assabet are likely due to the higher concentrations of WWTP effluent compared with the lower Assabet and Concord mainstem (Figure 7). The higher readings in Cold Harbor Brook and River Meadow Brook may be due to increased dissolved solids from the beaver impoundments above the sampling site. The higher readings at Hop Brook bear further investigation.

Figure 7: Average conductivity readings (June - Sept 2005)



Dissolved Oxygen

Dissolved oxygen concentrations are generally lowest between 5am – 8am after plant and microbial respiration has been removing oxygen from the water column overnight. Low minimum DO concentrations and large diurnal variations in DO indicate eutrophic conditions. Summary statistics for DO readings are in Table 7, above. DO readings were taken between 5:30 am and 9:00 a.m., except in May when three readings were taken between 9:00 am and 10:00 am.

DO concentrations on the mainstem were generally good this summer (Figure 8 and Figure 10), failing to meet standards criteria (≥ 5.0 mg/L and 60% saturation) only twice on the mainstem (ABT-301 and ABT162 in August). DO concentrations in the mainstem ranged from 5.00 – 10.25 mg/L with the lowest concentrations generally in August and the highest in September.

DO concentrations at tributary sites ranged from 3.58 – 11.44 mg/L and failed to meet standards criteria at three sites: Cold Harbor Brook (May, June, July and September), Elizabeth Brook (June, August, and September), and River Meadow Brook at Rte 129 in Chelmsford (August). The low DO readings at these three sites were likely a result of upstream swampy conditions or upstream beaver dams. Figure 9 and Figure 11 show tributary site dissolved oxygen concentrations over the summer.

Figure 8: Histogram of Mainstem DO Measurements (May to Sept)




Figure 9: Histogram of Headwater & Tributary DO Measurements (May to Sept)




Figure 10: Mainstem Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations – Summer 2004





Figure 11: Tributary Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations – Summer 2004



Nutrients and Suspended Solids

Summary statistics for nutrient concentrations are in Table 7, above. Median nutrient concentrations were calculated for the upper and lower Assabet mainstem and Concord mainstem reaches (see Table 1 for reach definitions) and for the combined Assabet headwaters and tributary sites. Figure 12 through Figure 16 show nutrient concentrations at mainstem vs. headwater/tributary sites.

As in previous years, nutrient concentrations along the Assabet River mainstem below the first wastewater discharge (Westborough WWTP) were well above Ecoregion reference conditions (25th percentile of the summertime data) for total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and nitrates. Nutrient concentrations at the three Concord River mainstem sites were generally lower than upstream concentrations, but still exceeded Ecoregion reference conditions for total phosphorus, nitrates, and total nitrogen in all but one measurement (nitrates at CND-161 in August).

Total phosphorus concentrations at the Assabet and Concord mainstem sites (Figure 12) ranged from 0.027 mg/L to 0.543 mg/L, exceeding the Ecoregion reference condition (0.025 mg/L) in 55 of 56 measurements, and exceeding the EPA “Gold Book” criteria (0.050 mg/L) in 50 of 56 measurements. Ortho-phosphorus concentrations in the Assabet and Concord mainstems (Figure 13) ranged from 0.013 mg/L to 0.301 mg/L. Mainstem Assabet and Concord total nitrogen concentrations (Figure 14) ranged from 1.2 mg/L to 12.3 mg/L, exceeding the reference condition (0.44 mg/L) in 56 of 56 measurements. Nitrate concentrations (Figure 15) ranged from 0.2 mg/L to 9.5 mg/L (as nitrate-N), exceeding the reference condition (0.34 mg/L NO₃ + NO₂-N) in 55 of 56 measurements. Median nutrient concentrations in the mainstem rivers generally decreased going downstream from the upper Assabet reach to the Concord River reach for total phosphorus, ortho-phosphorus, total nitrogen, and nitrate concentrations. Ammonia concentrations (Figure 16) ranged from <0.03 mg/L to 0.19 mg/L ammonia-N. Total suspended solids in the mainstem ranged from <1 mg/L to 46 mg/L.

In headwaters and the tributaries, total phosphorus (TP) and ortho-phosphate (ortho-P) concentrations were lower than in the mainstem reaches. Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from <0.006 mg/L – 0.126 mg/L TP, with 32 of 53 total phosphorus readings greater than 0.025 mg/L TP. Median total phosphorus concentrations in the headwater and tributaries were below the median TP concentrations for each of the mainstem reaches (Figure 12). Ortho phosphorus concentrations ranged from <0.006 mg/L to 0.038 mg/L, with 14 of 53 readings greater than 0.025 mg/L. Total nitrogen (TN) concentrations in the headwater and tributaries ranged from 0.52 mg/L to 4.6 mg/L, exceeding 0.44 mg/L in 53 of 53 measurements. Nitrate concentrations ranged from 0.17 mg/L to 1.1 mg/L. Median TN and nitrate concentrations at the headwater and tributary sites were lower than median concentrations in the mainstem (Figure 14 and Figure 15). Ammonia (ammonia as N) concentrations ranged from <0.03 mg/L to 0.7 mg/L and varied more with weather conditions than with river section (Figure 16). Total suspended solids in the headwaters and tributaries ranged from <1 mg/L to 31 mg/L with the highest concentrations measured in Cold Harbor Brook in June.

Figure 12: Total Phosphorus Concentrations (2004)




Figure 13: Ortho-Phosphorus Concentrations (Summer 2004)




Figure 14: Total Nitrogen Concentrations (2004)




Figure 15: Nitrate Concentrations (2004)





Figure 16: Median Ammonia (as N) Concentrations (2004)



Stream Health Index Readings

The Stream Health Index was used to assess conditions in six of the tributary streams from June to September in 2004. The index is designed to characterize summertime fish habitat conditions in the small streams of the watershed. A full description of the index is available on the project webpage (www.assabriver.org/streamwatch/howindex.html). Briefly, an index brings information from multiple data sources together into a single number, like a grade, that can be understood at a glance. As such, an index is a useful tool in making water quality, habitat, and streamflow data accessible to the public and in assessing spatial and temporal trends.

For the Stream Health Index, measurements of streamflow, groundwater levels, channel flow status, dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and total suspended solids are scored from 1 (worst) to 100 (best). Streamflow data is scored against minimum streamflow recommendations of several standard-setting methods. Groundwater levels are scored against expected conditions from long-term records. Water quality metrics are scored against published fish tolerances, Massachusetts surface water quality standards, and EPA criteria. Nutrient concentrations are scored against expected conditions for Ecoregion XIV. Channel flow status is scored using EPA's Rapid Bioassessment Protocol. These parameter scores are aggregated to give streamflow, water quality and habitat availability index scores; these three index scores are then aggregated into an overall stream health index. For posting on curbside signs the index score was converted to a description: excellent (81 – 100), good (61 – 80), fair (41 – 60), poor (21 – 40), or very poor (1 – 20).

Figure 17 to Figure 22 show Stream Health Index readings and streamflow over the summer for each of the six streams. The full data set is presented in Appendix III. The stream health was rated “excellent” or “good” for more than half of the 16 weeks assessed in Elizabeth, Fort Meadow, Hop, and Nashoba Brooks: Elizabeth Brook (12 of 16 weeks), Fort Meadow Brook (14 of 16 weeks), Hop Brook (9 of 16 weeks), and Nashoba Brook (10 of 16 weeks). Cold Harbor Brook and Danforth Brook scored lower with only 5 of 17 and 5 of 16 readings in the “excellent” to “good” range for each brook respectively. The lowest overall stream health readings for each stream occurred between mid-August and mid-September, when streamflows were at their lowest for the season. The lowest-scoring parameters over the summer were, in order of frequency: total nitrogen, water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and streamflow. Total nitrogen and water temperature were the lowest scoring parameters on Elizabeth, Fort Meadow, Hop, and Nashoba Brooks.

The low-scoring parameters on Cold Harbor Brook were dissolved oxygen early the summer and total nitrogen, streamflow, and water temperature later in the summer. The Cold Harbor Brook site is downstream of a swampy section of the brook and, so, the low dissolved oxygen concentrations may be a result of natural conditions.

Streamflow was the lowest-scoring parameter throughout the summer on Danforth Brook. Total nitrogen scores were also poor as measured in August and September on Danforth Brook.

Figure 17: Stream Health & Streamflow – Cold Harbor Brook (2004)




Figure 18: Stream Health & Streamflow – Danforth Brook (2004)




Figure 19: Stream Health & Streamflow – Elizabeth Brook (2003)




Figure 20:
Stream Health & Streamflow – Fort Meadow Brook (2004)




Figure 21:
Stream Health & Streamflow – Hop Brook (2004)





Figure 22: Stream Health & Streamflow - Nashoba Brook (2004)



Conclusions

Streamflows measured at the USGS Assabet River and Concord River gages were near normal over the summer sampling period (June 1 – Sept 30). Precipitation, as measured at the National Weather Service (NWS) Worcester station, in the area was slightly higher than average (106%) for the May to September period, although much of the rain in September came after the last sampling day (2.69" rain fell with the hurricane around September 18th). Weekly streamflow readings were recorded for six of the tributary monitoring sites: Hop Brook, Cold Harbor Brook, Danforth Brook, Fort Meadow Brook, Elizabeth Brook, and Nashoba Brook. Streamflows in the tributaries tended to be lowest in last July and again in early September, before the hurricane.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations on the mainstem were generally good this summer, failing to meet standards criteria (≥ 5.0 mg/L and 60% saturation) only twice on the mainstem (ABT-301 and ABT-162 in August). DO concentrations in the mainstem ranged from 5.00 – 10.25 mg/L with the lowest concentrations generally in August and the highest in September.

Dissolved oxygen concentrations at tributary sites ranged from 3.58 – 11.44 mg/L and failed to meet standards criteria at three sites: Cold Harbor Brook (May, June, July and September), Elizabeth Brook (June, August, and September), and River Meadow Brook at Rte 129 in Chelmsford (August). The low DO readings at these three sites were likely a result of upstream swampy conditions or upstream beaver dams.

As in previous years, nutrient concentrations along the Assabet River mainstem below the first wastewater discharge (Westborough WWTP) were well above levels expected to support eutrophication (Ecoregion reference conditions) for total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and nitrates. Nutrient concentrations at the three Concord River mainstem sites were generally lower than upstream concentrations, but still exceeded reference conditions for total phosphorus, nitrates, and total nitrogen in all but one measurement (nitrates at CND-161 in August).

Total phosphorus concentrations at the Assabet and Concord mainstem sites ranged from 0.027 mg/L to 0.543 mg/L, exceeding the Ecoregion reference condition (0.025 mg/L) in 55 of 56 measurements, and exceeding the EPA "Gold Book" criteria (0.050 mg/L) in 50 of 56 measurements. Ortho-phosphorus concentrations in the Assabet and Concord mainstems ranged from 0.013 mg/L to 0.301 mg/L. Mainstem Assabet and Concord total nitrogen concentrations ranged from 1.2 mg/L to 12.3 mg/L, exceeding the reference condition (0.44 mg/L) in 56 of 56 measurements. Nitrate concentrations ranged from 0.2 mg/L to 9.5 mg/L (as nitrate-N), exceeding the reference condition (0.34 mg/L NO₃ + NO₂-N) in 55 of 56 measurements. Ammonia concentrations (Figure 16) ranged from <0.03 mg/L to 0.19 mg/L ammonia-N. Total suspended solids in the mainstem ranged from <1 mg/L to 46 mg/L.

In headwaters and the tributaries, median total phosphorus, nitrate, and total nitrogen concentrations in the headwater and tributaries were below the median concentrations for

each of the mainstem reaches, but elevated in comparison with reference conditions. Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from <0.006 mg/L – 0.126 mg/L TP, with 32 of 53 total phosphorus readings greater than 0.025 mg/L TP. Ortho phosphorus concentrations ranged from <0.006 mg/L to 0.038 mg/L, with 14 of 53 readings greater than 0.025 mg/L. Total nitrogen (TN) concentrations in the headwater and tributaries ranged from 0.52 mg/L to 4.6 mg/L, exceeding 0.44 mg/L in 53 of 53 measurements. Nitrate concentrations ranged from 0.17 mg/L to 1.1 mg/L. Ammonia (ammonia as N) concentrations ranged from <0.03 mg/L to 0.7 mg/L and appeared to vary more with weather conditions than with river section. Total suspended solids in the headwaters and tributaries ranged from <1 mg/L to 31 mg/L with the highest concentrations measured in Cold Harbor Brook in June.

A weekly Stream Health Index was calculated for each of six tributary streams. The stream health was rated “excellent” or “good” for more than half of the 16 weeks assessed in Elizabeth, Fort Meadow, Hop, and Nashoba Brooks: Elizabeth Brook (12 of 16 weeks), Fort Meadow Brook (14 of 16 weeks), Hop Brook (9 of 16 weeks), and Nashoba Brook (10 of 16 weeks). Cold Harbor Brook and Danforth Brook scored lower with only 5 of 17 and 5 of 16 readings in the “excellent” to “good” range for each brook respectively. The lowest overall stream health readings for each stream occurred between mid-August and mid-September, when streamflows were at their lowest for the season. The lowest-scoring parameters over the summer were, in order of frequency: total nitrogen, water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and streamflow.

References

- American Public Health Association. 1995. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th Edition. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Pollution Control Federation, Washington D.C., 1995.
- ENSR. 2001. SuAsCo Watershed Assabet River TMDL Study: Phase One – Assessment Final Report. ENSR International, Document # 9000-259-100. November 2001.
- MA DEP. 1997. Surface Water Quality Standards. 314 CMR 4.0 Division of Water Pollution Control. Updated 5/30/97.
- MA DEP. 2002a. Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters. Part 1 – Context and Rationale for assessing and Reporting the Quality of Massachusetts Surface Waters. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. October 2002. CN:125.1
- MA DEP. 2002b. Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters. Part 2 – Proposed Listing of Individual Categories of Waters. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. October 2002. CN:125.2
- MA DEP. 2004. MA DEP. 2004. Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Phosphorus. Report Number: MA82B-01-2004-01. Control Number CN 201.0
- OAR. 2000a. Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Volunteer Water Monitoring Program. Organization for the Assabet River. Approved April 2000.
- OAR. 2000b. Water Quality Monitoring Program Final Report 1999. Organization for the Assabet River. June 2000.
- OAR. 2001. Water Quality Monitoring Program Final Report Summer 2000. Organization for the Assabet River. May 2001.
- OAR. 2002. Water Quality Monitoring Program Final Report Summer 2001. Organization for the Assabet River. March 2002.
- OAR. 2003a. Quality Assurance Program Plan for the StreamWatch Project. Organization for the Assabet River. Approved June 2003.
- OAR. 2003b. Water Quality Monitoring Program Final Report Summer 2002. Organization for the Assabet River. December 2002.
- OAR. 2004. Water Quality Monitoring Program Final Report – May to October 2003. Organization for the Assabet River. December 2004.

US EPA. 1983. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati. EPA-600/4-87-017. March 1983.

US EPA, 1986. "Quality Criteria for Water 1986." EPA 440/5-86-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

US EPA. 2000. Ambient Water Quality Criteria Recommendations: Rivers and Streams in Nutrient Ecoregion XVI. EPA 822-B-00-022. United States Environmental Protection Agency: Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, Health and Ecological Criteria Division. Washington, D.C. December 2000.

<http://www.epa.gov/OST/standards/nutrient.html>

Wandle, S.W., Jr. and Fontaine, R.A., 1984. Gazetteer of hydrologic characteristics of streams in Massachusetts—Merrimack River basin. U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Report 84-4283.

Glossary of Terms

Ammonia (NH₃): a form of nitrogen available for uptake by plants and microorganisms. Sources include the breakdown of organic nitrogen in sediments and untreated sewage. Other sources of ammonia include: fertilizer, home cleaning products and food processing. While ammonia can be readily utilized by plants, high concentrations of ammonia are directly toxic to aquatic life. A secondary effect of increased ammonia occurs when bacteria oxidize the NH₃ to NO₃, a process called nitrification, consuming four atoms of oxygen for every atom of nitrogen converted. This process can dramatically lower dissolved oxygen in the water.

Baseflow: the flow of water from aquifers into the streambed. In natural systems in New England baseflow makes up most of the river flow during the summer.

Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD): oxygen required to break down organic matter and to oxidize reduced chemicals (in water or sewage). BOD provides a direct measure of the decomposition or oxidation processes in the water column. The more difficult-to-perform **sediment oxygen demand (SOD)** test measures the decomposition processes in the sediments.

Channel Flow Status: an estimation of the amount of the streambed that is covered with water. Method from the EPA Rapid Bioassessment Protocol.

Conductivity: the ability of the water to conduct an electrical charge. Conductivity is a rough indicator of the presence of pollutants such as: wastewater from wastewater treatment plants or septic systems; non-point source runoff (especially road salts); and soil erosion. Reported in microSiemens per centimeter (µS/cm), conductivity is measured by applying a constant voltage to one nickel electrode and measuring the voltage drop across 1-cm of water. The flow of electrical current (I) through the water is proportional to the concentration of dissolved ions in the water - the more ions, the more conductive the water and the higher the “conductivity.” Since conductivity in water is also temperature dependent the results are often reported as “specific conductivity,” which is the raw conductivity measurement adjusted to 25° C.

Dissolved Oxygen: the presence of oxygen gas molecules (O₂) in the water, reported as percent saturation (% sat) or in milligrams per liter (mg/L). The concentration of dissolved oxygen (DO) in the water column provides a direct indication of the water’s ability to support aquatic life like fish and macroinvertebrates. Aquatic plants and bacteria in the sediments remove dissolved oxygen from the water when they respire (plants respire mainly at night). Therefore, the lowest dissolved oxygen concentrations of the day occur in the early in the morning. During the day plants add oxygen to the water column through photosynthesis. Both extreme (low or high) DO concentrations and large changes in DO concentrations over the day (diurnal variation) are damaging to the habitat.

Ecoregion: An area over which the climate is sufficiently uniform to permit development of similar ecosystems on sites that have similar properties. Ecoregions contain many landscapes with different spatial patterns of ecosystems.

Eutrophic: abundant in nutrients and having high rates of productivity frequently resulting in oxygen depletion below the surface layer.

Impoundment: A body of water contained by a barrier such as a dam; characterized by an inlet and an outlet stream.

Mainstem: The main channel of a river, as opposed to the streams and smaller rivers that feed into it.

Mesotrophic: having a nutrient loading resulting in moderate productivity.

Nitrogen: a major nutrient supporting plant growth. Nitrogen is measured in its various forms as **nitrate** (NO_3), **ammonia** (NH_3), and **total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN)**. **Total nitrogen** is calculated as the sum of TKN and nitrates. **Available nitrogen**, calculated as the sum of nitrate and ammonia, gives a measure of the nitrogen readily available for absorption by plants. Once absorbed, nitrogen is incorporated into proteins, amino acids, nucleic acids, and other molecules. Although most aquatic plant growth in rivers is limited by the availability of phosphorus, increased nitrogen availability can also lead to algal blooms.

Oligotrophic: having a small supply of nutrients, low production of organic matter, low rates of decomposition, and high dissolved oxygen in the lower layers of the water column.


Oxidation/reduction potential provides a measure of the condition of the suspended solids: to what extent the organic material in them has been degraded by microorganisms.

Phosphorus: Plants need nutrients to grow; in particular they need a balance of phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N). Phosphorus is measured as **total phosphorus (TP)** and **ortho-phosphate** (ortho-P; soluble inorganic phosphate, the form required by plants). In most fresh waters, the concentration of phosphorus available to plants is low enough that the plants cannot grow at their maximum rate. But in water bodies like the Assabet, where human activities add phosphorus to the environment, the added phosphorus allows much greater growth of aquatic plants (eutrophic conditions).

pH: the negative log of the hydrogen ion concentration in water, a measure of the acidity of water. pH is measured on a scale from 1 to 14, with 1 being very acidic, 7 being neutral, and 14 being very basic. Extreme pHs, in either direction, can be toxic to fish and other aquatic life and play a role in the behavior of other pollutants such as heavy metals in the environment. Changes in pH can be the result of acid rain/snow, chemicals entering the waterways, or algal blooms.

Stage and streamflow measure the amount of water in the river. Stage is the height of the water above the riverbed, and is read at staff gages at several points along the mainstem river and at sites on eight tributaries. Streamflow (also called discharge) is the volume of water passing a given point in the river (reported in cubic feet per second, "cfs"). Streamflow is measured on the mainstem Assabet and Concord Rivers at the USGS gages in Maynard and Lowell, respectively, and reported on the USGS web page. Streamflow on the tributary streams is calculated using a rating curves from staff gage readings taken by OAR volunteers.

Stage-discharge rating (aka “rating curve”): the relationship between stage (water height) and discharge (streamflow). The rating curve is determined empirically by making a series of streamflow measurements at different stages and analyzing the graphed results (figure below).



Temperature affects the ecosystem in a number of ways: many organisms, especially cool water fish, are sensitive to high temperatures; the solubility of oxygen is lower in warmer water, decreasing the supply of dissolved oxygen; algae, weeds, and pathogenic microorganisms can all grow faster in warmer water.

Total suspended solids (TSS): the amount of silt, clay, organic material and algae in the water. Sources include erosion and the solids in effluent. Once in the water column, suspended solids are transported downstream and settle gradually, along with decaying plant matter, to form thick organic-rich sediments in the slower sections of the river.

Tributary: A stream or river whose water flows into a larger stream, river, or lake.

Appendix I: Massachusetts Proposed Listing of Individual Categories of Waters

Appendix I: Massachusetts Proposed Listing of Individual Categories of Waters (MADEP 2002)

Massachusetts Category 2 Waters: "Attaining some uses; other uses not assessed"			
Name	Segment ID	Description	Uses Attained
Fort Meadow Brook (8247220)	MA82B-11_2002	Outlet of Fort Meadow Reservoir (Marlboro/Hudson) to confluence with Assabet River, Hudson. Miles 2.8 – 0.0	Aquatic Life Aesthetics
Massachusetts Category 3 Waters: "No Uses Assessed"			
Name	Segment ID	Description	No uses assessed
Elizabeth Brook (8247150)	MA82B-12_2002	From outlet of unnamed pond (Delaney Project) west of Harvard Road to inlet Fletchers Pond, Stow. Miles 3.8 – 0.0	
Nashoba Brook (8246875)	MA82B-14_2002	Source just south of Route 110 in Westford to confluence with Fort Pond Brook, Concord. Miles 9.0 – 0.0	
Spencer Brook (8246825)	MA82B-15_2002	Outlet of unnamed pond, Carlisle north of Bellows Hill to inlet Angiers Pond, Concord. Miles 4.0 – 0.0	
Taylor Brook (8247100)	MA82B-08_2002	Outlet Puffer Pond to confluence with Assabet River, Maynard. Miles 1.80 – 0.0	
Massachusetts Category 4c Waters: "Impairment not caused by a pollutant"			
Name	Segment ID	Description	Impairment Cause
Unnamed tributary (8246805)	MA82B-16_2002	Outlet of Angiers Pond to confluence with Assabet River, Concord. Miles 0.5 – 0.0	Flow alternation
Massachusetts Category 5 Waters: "Waters requiring a TMDL"			
Name	Segment ID	Description	Pollutant Needing TMDL
Assabet River Reservoir (82004)	MA82004_2002	Westborough	Metals; Noxious aquatic plants; Turbidity; (Exotic species)
Warner's Pond (82110)	MA82110_2002	Concord	Metals; Noxious aquatic plants; (Exotic species)
Assabet River (8246775)	MA82B-01_2002	Outlet Flow Augmentation Pond to Westborough WWTP, Westborough. Miles 31.8 – 30.4	Nutrients; Organic enrichment/Low DO; Pathogens
Assabet River (8246775)	MA82B-02_2002	Westborough WWTP, Westborough to Route 20 Dam, Northborough. Miles 30.4 – 26.7	Metals; Nutrients; Organic enrichment/Low DO; Pathogens
Assabet River (8246775)	MA82B-03_2002	Route 20 Dam, Northborough to Marlborough West WWTP, Marlborough. Miles 26.7 – 24.3	Nutrients; Pathogens
Assabet River (8246775)	MA82B-04_2002	Marlborough West WWTP, Marlborough to Hudson WWTP, Hudson. Miles 24.3 – 16.4	Cause unknown; Metals; Nutrients; Organic enrichment/Low DO; Pathogens
Assabet River (8246775)	MA82B-05_2002	Hudson WWTP Hudson to Routes 27/62 at USGS Gage, Maynard. Miles 16.4 – 7.6	Nutrients; Organic enrichment/Low DO; Pathogens
Assabet River (8246775)	MA82B-06_2002	Routes 27/62 at USGS Gage, Maynard to Powdermill Dam, Acton. Miles 7.6 – 6.4	Priority organics; Metals; Nutrients; Organic enrichment/Low DO; Thermal modifications; Taste, odor and color; Suspended solids; Noxious aquatic plants
Assabet River (8246775)	MA82B-07_2002	Powdermill Dam, Acton to confluence with Sudbury River, Concord. Miles 6.4 – 0.0	Nutrients; Organic enrichment/Low DO; Pathogens

Appendix II: Data Summaries

Appendix III: Stream Health Index Readings & Tributary Data